

GET THAT WARRANT.

Then Mr. McClave's Desire to See Granger Will Seem Sincere.

Chamber of Commerce Pleased with the Lexow Committee's Work.

Commissioner Murray Says Any Corruption Should Be Exposed.

Gideon Granger, the divorced son-in-law of Police Commissioner McClave, for whom it is alleged, the police have been making a vigorous search, has not yet been apprehended.

The young man over whose alleged misconduct and criminal actions Mr. McClave shed tears while testifying before the Lexow Committee has apparently disappeared as completely as if the earth had opened and swallowed him body and boots.

A strange anomaly is presented in the professionally sincere desire of Mr. McClave to apprehend this missing and much-wanted witness and the fact that no warrant has been sworn out to justify the police in placing him under arrest.

The latter fact seems to indicate a lack of sincerity upon the part of Commissioner McClave. If the police are to search for Granger with the intention of arresting him, they must be equipped with something more legally efficacious than the mere "say so" of Commissioner McClave, in order to place him where the investigating committee may feel assured of his presence before them when wanted.

But Mr. McClave has said that no warrant has been sworn out for his son-in-law's arrest. It is not a rule or even exceptional practice of Supt. Byrne to send out his officers to arrest a citizen unless there is some evidence or belief that he is guilty of a crime. Such belief is customarily signified by the officer making a deposition before a Police Magistrate, but in this case no proceeding has been taken.

If Mr. McClave is really sincere in his desire to get Mr. Granger before the committee again, it is not reasonable for him to make it evident by swearing out a warrant alleging some one of the numerous crimes he has charged him with.

When Commissioner McClave asked Supt. Byrne and Granger, it was done in the presence of witnesses, one of whom was Mr. McClave himself. He confessed that he had no warrant or even summons showing that he actually believed a crime had been committed. This seems to imply lack of sincerity, and it is not accepted by the police, who are not instructed to wait upon the beck and call of an "Commissioner for a personal service" less to do his bidding when even the formalities of the law have not been observed.

Supt. Byrne would not say this morning whether or not detectives were searching for Granger. He did say, however, that he had not heard of the man's arrest.

It is a very safe presumption that if Central Office detectives had been sent to arrest Granger he would have been in the toils long before this, or that there would have been a numerical decrease in the Central Office.

Policeman Barnes, who serves in the capacity of messenger for Commissioner McClave, is in the city long before this, or far as can be ascertained, who has been looking for Granger, and even he was in close attendance upon Commissioner McClave while the latter was a witness before the Lexow Committee.

If it is true that Supt. Byrne has under the existing peculiar circum-

stances, detailed detectives to hunt up Granger, they could not in the premises legally arrest him. All they could do, under the law, would be to locate the man and report his whereabouts to the Superintendent. Should Granger, for instance, be located in New Jersey, he could snap his fingers at the detectives, or even the sergeant-at-arms and the Lexow Committee, unless, indeed, Commissioner McClave should prove the sincerity of his desire to get Granger on the witness stand by swearing out a warrant for his arrest for forgery, for which he could be extradited.

Members of the Chamber of Commerce are highly gratified with the progress of the Lexow Committee, and Gustave Schwab said to an "Evening World" reporter this morning:

"The prospects are most encouraging, and I may now say that enough money has been either actually subscribed or pledged by the business men of the city to enable the plan of the plan of the investigation to be thoroughly carried out."

"I have talked with many of the business men who are members of the Chamber, besides the members of my own Committee during the last two days, and on the find that they are all delighted with the progress made in the investigation thus far."

"It is a stupendous work, and will probably take all summer, but in the last few days the great work has been laid for the successful prosecution of the inquiry in the future. We have already begun to get at some bottom facts, and I do not doubt that plenty of corroborative evidence will be brought out before the investigation proceeds much further."

"If I think that any one who has followed closely the testimony of the witnesses, who have appeared before the committee, this work can help arriving at the conclusion, for instance, that money is paid to secure positions on the police force, and that bribery and corruption in that department is rife."

"I do not think that any one who has followed closely the testimony of the witnesses, who have appeared before the committee, this work can help arriving at the conclusion, for instance, that money is paid to secure positions on the police force, and that bribery and corruption in that department is rife."

"The committee has shown a disposition to get at the facts and bring out the truth, regardless of whom it may hurt, and I have said all along, it is honest and conscientious in its endeavor to have every consideration that there will be no sham investigation here, and when I say this, I speak for all my associates in the Chamber of Commerce."

It was also learned this morning that Dr. Parkhurst, who is out of town, had written a letter to a friend, in which he expressed the warmest satisfaction at the result of the first week's work of the investigating committee under Mr. Goff's direction.

When the committee resumes its work next week, there will be a number of witnesses on hand. It is expected, to clear up certain points in connection with Mr. McClave's testimony. A large number of transactions in which the Commissioner was interested were gone into, and it will require the testimony of a lot of other witnesses to get all the facts properly recorded.

Then, too, Mr. Goff hopes and expects to get Supt. Byrne and Granger back again before any of the other Commissioners are put upon the witness stand. As a matter of fact, Granger never had an opportunity to give his chief testimony, and his examination Monday was really only preliminary to the more important information which was to come.

A little red notebook which contains the entries of several years is check full of the most interesting memoranda, and as these were jotted down at the time when Granger was still in the bosom of the McClave family and enjoying his position of influence as the son-in-law of a Police Commissioner, they are regarded as most valuable evidence, no matter what Granger's personal character or reputation may be.

After the McClave testimony has been substantiated as fully as possible, it is probable that Police Commissioner McClave will be put upon the witness stand.

As the members of the Police Commission were asked for an opinion by a reporter for "The Evening World" today with regard to the developments in the investigation of the Lexow Committee, the new appointee, Charles H. Murray, was the only one inclined to talk on the matter.

"If there is any truth in the accusa-

tion of corruption or rottenness in the Police Department," he said, "it should be exposed and the guilty parties summarily dealt with."

"It makes no difference whether he is a Republican or a Democrat. I stand out for exposure and punishment."

President Martin said that he was in the hands of the committee and it would be highly improper for him to express any opinion upon the committee's action at this time.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

Commissioner McClave preferred not to talk about the committee or the investigation.

ACCUSES ADELE RITCHIE.

Mrs. Hermann, a Costumer, Seeks to Recover a Dress.

Actress and Manager Summoned to Appear in Court.

A summons was issued this morning by Judge Ryan, in Jefferson Market Court, for Miss Adele Ritchie, who is now singing at the Casino in "The Passing Show." George Lederer is also summoned to appear with her in court.

The summons was issued at the request of Mrs. Maurice Hermann, theatrical costumer, at 20 West Twenty-seventh street. The trouble is over a dress.

Mrs. Hermann says that when "The Passing Show" was first produced at the Casino Miss Ritchie came to her and arranged to hire a very handsome dress to be used in costuming the character of Marguerite in "Faust."

Miss Ritchie, Mrs. Hermann says, took a very gorgeous pale-blue broadcloth dress valued at \$125, for which she agreed to pay \$15 weekly. At the end of the first week Miss Ritchie called personally on Mrs. Hermann and paid for the dress.

At the end of the second week, which was last Saturday, no one appeared to pay for the dress, and Mrs. Hermann heard nothing from the latter to learn the cause of the delay, and Miss Ritchie not only positively refused to pay the \$15 claimed, but also refused to give up the dress.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay the rent for the garment. Mrs. Hermann then appealed to the court, and, in consequence, Miss Ritchie will have to answer a charge of larceny.

Mrs. Hermann then complained to Mr. Lederer. The latter, she says, refused to add her in retaining possession of the costume, and also declined to pay